



## Research Article

## Determinants of the Non-Use of Insecticide-Treated Mosquito Nets in Benin

*Déterminants de la Non-Utilisation des Moustiquaires Imprégnées d'Insecticide au Bénin*

Cyriaque Affoukou<sup>1</sup>, Georgia Damien<sup>2</sup>, Rock Yves Aïkpon<sup>1,2</sup>, Géraud Padonou<sup>3</sup>, William Houndjo<sup>1</sup>, Camille Houétohoussou<sup>1</sup>, Salifou Sourakatou<sup>1</sup>, Aurore Ogouyemi Hounto<sup>2</sup>, Biauou Boni Olarewadjou Richard<sup>2</sup>, Badirou Aguemou<sup>2</sup>

## Affiliations

1. National Malaria Control Program (NMCP), Benin
2. University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin
3. Ministry of Health, Benin

## Auteur correspondant

Cyriaque Affoukou

Email : [mojacdm@yahoo.fr](mailto:mojacdm@yahoo.fr)

**Mots clés :** Paludisme, moustiquaires imprégnées d'insecticide, non-utilisation, déterminants, Bénin

**Key words:** Malaria, insecticide-treated mosquito nets, non-use, determinants, Benin



## ABSTRACT

**Introduction.** The use of insecticide-treated mosquito nets (ITNs) is a key intervention in malaria control efforts. However, non-utilization of ITNs continues to be a challenge in many endemic regions, including Benin. The aim of our study was to determine the factors associated with the non-use of ITNs in Benin in 2022. **Methodology.** This study utilized secondary data from the malaria indicators survey conducted in Benin in 2022. A total of 9,184 households and 44,669 individuals were included in the analysis. The dependent variable was the non-use of ITNs, while independent variables included age, residential area, etc.... Descriptive statistics and binary logistic regression were used for data analysis. **Results.** A total of 9184 households were included in the study. The median age of household members was 17 years. The age group of 30-64 years was the most represented with a sex ratio of 1, 06. Household heads were predominantly of Fon ethnicity in 33% of cases, had a primary educational level in 22.7% of cases, and belonged to the middle socioeconomic status quintile in 20.8% of cases. The prevalence of non-use ITNs was 39.4%. Men slept under ITNs less than women ( $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Individuals with a primary education had a 16% lower risk of not using ITNs (aOR = 0.86; 95% CI = [0.79-0.87]) compared to those with no education. This risk was 49% lower when the household head had a secondary school (aOR = 0.51; 95% CI = [0.45-0.55]) or university education (aOR = 0.51; 95% CI = [0.45-0.57]). **Conclusion.** Understanding and addressing the multifaceted determinants of ITN non-use are essential for improving malaria control strategies and reducing the disease burden in endemic regions like Benin.

## RÉSUMÉ

**Introduction.** L'utilisation de moustiquaires imprégnées d'insecticide (MIIs) est une intervention clé dans les efforts de lutte contre le paludisme. Cependant, la non-utilisation des MIIs continue d'être un défi dans de nombreuses régions endémiques, dont le Bénin. L'objectif de notre étude était de déterminer les facteurs associés à la non-utilisation des MIIs au Bénin en 2022. **Méthodologie.** Cette étude a utilisé des données secondaires de l'enquête sur les indicateurs du paludisme menée au Bénin en 2022. Un total de 9 184 ménages et 44 669 sujets ont été inclus dans l'analyse. La variable dépendante était la non-utilisation des MIIs, tandis que les variables indépendantes incluaient l'âge, la zone de résidence, etc.... Des statistiques descriptives et une régression logistique binaire ont été utilisées pour l'analyse des données. **Résultats.** Un total de 9184 ménages ont été inclus dans l'étude. L'âge médian des membres du ménage était de 17 ans. Le groupe d'âge de 30 à 64 ans était le plus représenté avec un ratio hommes/femmes de 1,06. Les chefs de ménage étaient majoritairement d'ethnie Fon dans 33% des cas, avaient un niveau d'éducation primaire dans 22,7% des cas, et appartenaient au quintile de statut socio-économique moyen dans 20,8% des cas. La prévalence de la non-utilisation des MIIs était de 39,4%. Les hommes dormaient sous des MIIs moins que les femmes ( $p < 2,2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Les individus ayant un niveau d'éducation primaire avaient un risque de non-utilisation des MIIs 16% inférieur (aOR = 0,86 ; IC 95% = [0,79-0,87]) par rapport à ceux sans éducation. Ce risque était de 49% inférieur lorsque le chef de ménage avait un niveau d'éducation secondaire (aOR = 0,51 ; IC 95% = [0,45-0,55]) ou universitaire (aOR = 0,51 ; IC 95% = [0,45-0,57]). **Conclusion.** Comprendre et aborder les déterminants multifacettes de la non-utilisation des MIIs sont essentiels pour améliorer les stratégies de lutte contre le paludisme et réduire le fardeau de la maladie dans les régions endémiques comme le Bénin.

**HIGHLIGHTS****What is known of the subject**

The use of insecticide-treated mosquito nets (ITNs) is a key intervention in malaria control efforts.

**The aim of our study**

Factors associated with the non-use of ITNs in Benin in 2022.

**Key Results**

1. The median age of household members was 17 years. The age group of 30-64 years was the most represented and the sex ratio was 1,06.
2. Individuals with a primary education had a 16% lower risk of not using ITNs (aOR = 0.86; 95% CI = [0.79-0.87]) compared to those with no education. This risk was 49% lower when the household head had a secondary school (aOR = 0.51; 95% CI = [0.45-0.55]) or university education (aOR = 0.51; 95% CI = [0.45-0.57]).

**Implications for future practices and policies**

Targeted interventions that consider demographic, socioeconomic and cultural factors are warranted to promote ITN utilization and ultimately contribute to malaria elimination efforts.

**INTRODUCTION**

Malaria remains a significant public health problem worldwide, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden is most pronounced [1–4]. Malaria is caused by *Plasmodium falciparum*, a parasite transmitted to humans through the bites of infected mosquitoes [5]. Globally, the number of malaria cases was estimated at 247 million in 2021, an increase of over 2 million cases compared to 2020, according to the WHO. Malaria deaths for 2021 were estimated at 619,000. Compared to 2020, there was a decrease of 28,000 deaths. The heaviest burden of malaria is recorded in the African region, with 234 million cases and 593,000 deaths in 2021 [6]. In Benin, malaria is the leading reason for consultation in healthcare services and the primary cause of death among children under 5 years old, accounting for 49.5% of children under 5 years old with a specific death rate of 21.4% [7]. Over the past two decades, significant investments have been made in the fight against malaria. National malaria control programs (NMCPs) exist in most malaria-endemic countries. Their mission is to ensure universal access to malaria prevention and correct treatment to reduce mortality and morbidity rates due to this disease. These programs typically organize the routine free distribution of insecticide-treated mosquito nets (ITNs) in healthcare facilities to pregnant women and children under five, who are most at risk of developing malaria infection, and depending on the periods, mass distribution campaigns of ITNs [1]. The use of insecticide-treated mosquito nets (ITNs) by households in endemic areas significantly reduces malaria episodes and mortality associated with malaria. The use of mosquito nets is thus an indirect indicator and a useful predictor of the epidemiological impact of final health outcomes (reduction in the number of malaria cases and deaths) [8]. This study aims to determine the

factors associated with the non-use of ITNs in Benin in 2022.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This study used secondary data from the Malaria Indicator Survey (MIS) conducted by the NMCP across the entire national territory of Benin in 2022. A total of 9184 households (5737 rural households and 3447 urban households) and 44,669 individuals who spent the night prior to the interview in the households were included in the study. The dependent variable was the non-use of ITNs the night before the survey, which was binary (Yes/No). It was coded as yes when the household member did not sleep under an ITN the night before the survey and no otherwise. The independent variables included age, residence area, department, gender of household head, educational level of household head, religion, ethnicity of household head, socioeconomic status quintile, age of ITN, and origin of ITN. Analyses were performed using SPSS 25 software. The first part of the analysis was based on descriptive statistics and the chi-square test of independence. The second part involved multivariate explanatory analysis using binary logistic regression by the stepwise (Wald) method and adjusted Odds Ratios (ORa) to measure the strength of associations. Results of different associations were considered statistically significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

**RESULTS**

All 12 departments of Benin were represented, both in urban and rural areas, with 9184 households included in the study. Nearly 71.6% of households had any type of mosquito net, and 69.4% had an ITN. The database contained a total of 42,843 individuals, and with weighting variable, we obtained a representative sample of the population, which was 46,516. Among them, 44,669 individuals, or 96.03%, had spent the night before the interview in the household. More than 6 out of 10 household members ( $n = 28,791$ ; 64.5%) lived in rural areas. There was a predominance of female members with a sex ratio of 1.06. The median age of household members was 17 years (IQR: 7 years and 33 years). The youngest member was less than one month old, and the oldest was 100 years old. The age group of 30-64 years was the most represented. Household heads were predominantly of Fon ethnicity in 33% of cases, had a primary educational level in 22.7% of cases, and belonged to the middle socioeconomic status quintile in 20.8% of cases. Out of 44,669 individuals who spent the night in the household, 17,590 did not sleep under an ITN, resulting in a prevalence of ITN non-use of 39.4% with a 95% confidence interval of [38.9-39.8]. From the bivariate analysis in Table I, it is evident that ITN use differs significantly across departments ( $\chi^2 = 2900$ ;  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Similarly, individuals living in rural areas used ITNs less than those living in urban areas ( $\chi^2 = 171.92$ ;  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Men slept under ITNs less than women ( $\chi^2 = 281.37$ ;  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ) (Table I). Regarding the age of household members, children under 5 years old used ITNs much more than other household members ( $\chi^2 = 615.45$ ;  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Table I also reveals that the lower the educational level of household

heads, the less household members used ITNs ( $p < 0.000$ ). Additionally, household members with heads from the northern ethnicities slept under ITNs less ( $p < 0.000$ ). The socioeconomic status quintile also impacted ITN use in households ( $\chi^2=569.74$ ;  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Table II presents the adjusted Odds Ratios related to the

association of ITN use and sociodemographic characteristics. Variables associated in the bivariate analysis were retained in the final model except for the living area (**Table II**).

**Table I. Distribution of household members not sleeping on ITNs by socio-demographic characteristics, 2022**

| Sociodemographic characteristics      | N     | %    | No ITN use |      | P-value           |
|---------------------------------------|-------|------|------------|------|-------------------|
|                                       |       |      | Oui        | %    |                   |
| <b>Department</b>                     |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| Alibori                               | 3757  | 8,4  | 1779       | 47,4 |                   |
| Atacora                               | 3951  | 8,8  | 2187       | 55,4 |                   |
| Atlantique                            | 3693  | 8,3  | 1522       | 41,2 |                   |
| Borgou                                | 5517  | 12,4 | 1706       | 30,9 |                   |
| Collines                              | 3340  | 7,5  | 1473       | 44,1 |                   |
| Couffo                                | 3009  | 6,7  | 1084       | 36,0 |                   |
| Donga                                 | 4823  | 10,8 | 2711       | 56,2 |                   |
| Littoral                              | 3439  | 7,7  | 683        | 19,9 |                   |
| Mono                                  | 2921  | 6,5  | 605        | 20,7 |                   |
| Oueme                                 | 3627  | 8,1  | 1206       | 33,3 |                   |
| Plateau                               | 3124  | 7,0  | 1719       | 55,0 |                   |
| Zou                                   | 3468  | 7,8  | 915        | 26,4 |                   |
| <b>Place of residence</b>             |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| Urban                                 | 15878 | 35,5 | 5624       | 35,4 |                   |
| Rural                                 | 28791 | 64,5 | 11966      | 41,6 |                   |
| <b>Gender</b>                         |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| Male                                  | 21679 | 48,5 | 9299       | 42,9 |                   |
| Female                                | 22990 | 51,5 | 8291       | 36,1 |                   |
| <b>Age range in years</b>             |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| <5                                    | 8184  | 18,3 | 2355       | 28,8 |                   |
| 5-14                                  | 11931 | 26,7 | 5102       | 42,8 |                   |
| 15-29                                 | 11056 | 24,8 | 4621       | 41,8 |                   |
| 30-64                                 | 12216 | 27,3 | 4892       | 40,0 |                   |
| 65 and over                           | 1282  | 2,9  | 620        | 48,4 |                   |
| <b>Household status</b>               |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| Spouse of head of household           | 6888  | 15,4 | 2228       | 32,3 |                   |
| Head of household                     | 8744  | 19,6 | 3526       | 40,3 |                   |
| Son or daughter                       | 21668 | 48,5 | 8398       | 38,8 |                   |
| Son-in-law or daughter-in-law         | 743   | 1,7  | 310        | 41,7 |                   |
| Brother or sister                     | 1501  | 3,4  | 794        | 52,9 |                   |
| Grandson/granddaughter                | 3039  | 6,8  | 1242       | 40,9 |                   |
| Father/mother                         | 552   | 1,2  | 329        | 59,6 |                   |
| Parents-in-law                        | 236   | 0,5  | 102        | 43,2 |                   |
| Adopted/custodial                     | 923   | 2,1  | 470        | 50,9 |                   |
| Other                                 | 375   | 0,8  | 191        | 50,9 |                   |
| <b>Ethnicity of head of household</b> |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| Fon and related                       | 14722 | 33,0 | 4942       | 33,6 |                   |
| Adja and related                      | 6404  | 14,3 | 1838       | 28,7 |                   |
| Yoruba and related                    | 5425  | 12,1 | 2513       | 46,3 |                   |
| Bariba and related                    | 5503  | 12,3 | 2041       | 37,1 |                   |
| Peulh and related                     | 2681  | 6,0  | 1413       | 52,7 |                   |
| Betamaribé and related products       | 3105  | 7,0  | 1603       | 51,6 |                   |
| Yoa-lokpa and related                 | 3491  | 7,8  | 1734       | 49,7 |                   |
| Dendi and related                     | 2310  | 5,2  | 1122       | 48,6 |                   |
| Other Beninese                        | 942   | 2,1  | 349        | 37,0 |                   |
| Other nationality                     | 86    | 0,2  | 35         | 40,7 |                   |

**Table I. Distribution of household members not sleeping on ITNs by socio-demographic characteristics, 2022**

| Sociodemographic characteristics               | N     | %    | No ITN use |      | P-value           |
|--|-------|------|------------|------|-------------------|
| <b>Level of education of head of household</b> |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| Not in school                                  | 23567 | 52,8 | 10747      | 45,6 |                   |
| Primary  | 10121 | 22,7 | 3803       | 37,6 |                   |
| Secondary cycle 1                              | 5287  | 11,8 | 1624       | 30,7 |                   |
| Secondary cycle 2                              | 2635  | 5,9  | 640        | 24,3 |                   |
| Superior                                       | 2159  | 4,8  | 480        | 22,2 |                   |
| Religious school                               | 900   | 2,0  | 296        | 32,9 |                   |
| <b>Marital status of head of household</b>     |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| Single   | 2057  | 4,6  | 978        | 47,5 |                   |
| Polygamous                                     | 13590 | 30,4 | 5808       | 42,7 |                   |
| Monogamous                                     | 25276 | 56,6 | 9197       | 36,4 |                   |
| Widowed  | 3068  | 6,9  | 1323       | 43,1 |                   |
| Divorced                                       | 678   | 1,5  | 284        | 41,9 |                   |
| <b>Quintile of economic well-being</b>         |       |      |            |      | <b>P&lt;0,001</b> |
| The lowest                                     | 10541 | 23,6 | 4908       | 46,6 |                   |
| Second   | 10074 | 22,6 | 4061       | 40,3 |                   |
| Medium   | 9295  | 20,8 | 3722       | 40,0 |                   |
| Fourth   | 8232  | 18,4 | 3082       | 37,4 |                   |
| The highest                                    | 6527  | 14,6 | 1817       | 27,8 |                   |

**Tableau I. Results of logistic regression model explaining non-use of ITN in Benin in 2022**

| Variables                     | aOR       | IC <sub>95%</sub> (aOR) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------|
| <b>Department</b>             |           |                         |         |
| Zou                           | Reference |                         |         |
| Alibori                       | 2,26      | [1,95-2,61]             | 0,000   |
| Atacora                       | 3,10      | [2,64-3,63]             | 0,000   |
| Atlantique                    | 2,22      | [2,00-2,45]             | 0,000   |
| Borgou                        | 0,97      | [0,84-1,12]             | 0,727   |
| Collines                      | 2,08      | [1,86-2,31]             | 0,000   |
| Couffo                        | 1,96      | [1,69-2,26]             | 0,000   |
| Donga                         | 4,57      | [3,90-5,35]             | 0,000   |
| Littoral                      | 0,76      | [0,66-0,85]             | 0,000   |
| Mono                          | 0,88      | [0,76-1,00]             | 0,051   |
| Ouémé                         | 1,62      | [1,46-1,79]             | 0,000   |
| Plateau                       | 3,02      | [2,68-3,38]             | 0,000   |
| <b>Sex</b>                    |           |                         |         |
| Female                        | Reference |                         |         |
| Male                          | 1,30      | [1,24-1,36]             | 0,000   |
| <b>Age range in years</b>     |           |                         |         |
| <5                            | Reference |                         |         |
| 5-14                          | 2,08      | [1,95-2,21]             | 0,000   |
| 15-29                         | 2,82      | [2,61-3,02]             | 0,000   |
| 30-64                         | 3,32      | [3,01-3,64]             | 0,000   |
| 65 and over                   | 3,98      | [3,41-4,64]             | 0,000   |
| <b>Household status</b>       |           |                         |         |
| Spouse of head of household   | Reference |                         |         |
| Head of household             | 1,22      | [1,12-1,32]             | 0,000   |
| Son or daughter               | 2,06      | [1,89-2,24]             | 0,000   |
| Son-in-law or daughter-in-law | 1,62      | [1,37-1,90]             | 0,000   |
| Brother or sister             | 2,94      | [2,59-3,33]             | 0,000   |
| Grandson/granddaughter        | 2,59      | [2,29-2,91]             | 0,000   |
| Father/mother                 | 2,55      | [2,10-3,08]             | 0,000   |
| Parents-in-law                | 1,94      | [1,49-2,53]             | 0,000   |
| Adopted/custodial             | 3,52      | [3,01-4,11]             | 0,000   |
| Other                         | 3,76      | [3,02-4,66]             | 0,000   |

| <b>Tableau II. Results of logistic regression model explaining non-use of ITN in Benin in 2022</b> |            |                               |                |
|--|------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Variables</b>   | <b>aOR</b> | <b>IC<sub>95%</sub> (aOR)</b> | <b>P-value</b> |
| <b>Department</b>  |            |                               |                |
| <b>Ethnicity of head of household</b>  |            |                               |                |
| Fon and related  | Reference  |                               |                |
| Adja and related   | 0,78       | [0,70-0,86]                   | 0,000          |
| Yoruba and related   | 1,04       | [0,96-1,12]                   | 0,336          |
| Bariba and related   | 1,01       | [0,88-1,15]                   | 0,852          |
| Peulh and related  | 1,56       | [1,35-1,79]                   | 0,000          |
| Betamaribé and related products  | 0,99       | [0,84-1,14]                   | 0,874          |
| Yoa-lokpa and related  | 0,60       | [0,51-0,69]                   | 0,000          |
| Dendi and related  | 1,01       | [0,87-1,16]                   | 0,883          |
| Other Beninese   | 0,89       | [0,76-1,04]                   | 0,160          |
| Other nationality  | 1,62       | [1,03-2,55]                   | 0,035          |
| <b>Education level of head of household</b>  |            |                               |                |
| Not in school  | Reference  |                               |                |
| Primary  | 0,84       | [0,79-0,87]                   | 0,000          |
| Secondary cycle 1  | 0,68       | [0,63-0,73]                   | 0,000          |
| Secondary cycle 2  | 0,51       | [0,46-0,55]                   | 0,000          |
| Superior   | 0,51       | [0,45-0,57]                   | 0,000          |
| Religious school   | 0,72       | [0,62-0,83]                   | 0,000          |
| <b>Marital status of head of household</b>   |            |                               |                |
| Single   | Reference  |                               |                |
| Polygamous   | 0,77       | [0,69-0,85]                   | 0,000          |
| Monogamous   | 0,65       | [0,59-0,71]                   | 0,000          |
| Widowed  | 0,64       | [0,57-0,72]                   | 0,000          |
| Divorced   | 0,74       | [0,61-0,89]                   | 0,001          |
| <b>Quintile of economic wellbeing</b>  |            |                               |                |
| The lowest   | 1,10       | [1,01-1,20]                   | 0,028          |
| Second   | 0,92       | [0,84-1,01]                   | 0,075          |
| Medium   | 0,99       | [0,91-1,08]                   | 0,926          |
| Fourth   | 1,03       | [0,95-1,12]                   | 0,463          |
| The highest  | Reference  |                               |                |

Compared to residents of Zou department, residents of Littoral department slept 1.32 times more under an ITN (aOR = 0.76; 95% CI = [0.66-0.85]). The risk of ITN non-use in Atacora, Donga, and Plateau departments was 3 to 4 times higher than in Zou department. Males were more likely to not use ITNs compared to females (aOR = 1.30; 95% CI = [1.24-1.36]). It was evident that age is a determinant of ITN use. There was an increasing risk of ITN non-use with the age progression of household members. Individuals with a primary education had a 16% lower risk of not using ITNs (aOR = 0.86; 95% CI = [0.79-0.87]) compared to those with no education. This risk was 49% lower when the household head had a secondary school (aOR = 0.51; 95% CI = [0.45-0.55]) or university education (aOR = 0.51; 95% CI = [0.45-0.57]). The household socioeconomic status quintile was a determinant of ITN non-use. Households in the lowest wealth quintile (aOR = 1.10; 95% CI = [1.01-1.20]) were more at risk of not using ITNs.

## DISCUSSION

The high prevalence of non-use of ITNs by household members may be linked to insufficient availability of ITNs in households. This situation could be explained by the delay in the mass distribution campaign of ITNs to the population. Indeed, the last ITN distribution

campaign took place three years before the survey. It has been demonstrated that polyester net mosquito nets distributed during the last campaign lack mechanical resistance, and their physical integrity rarely lasts more than 2 to 3 years [9,10]. Non-use of ITNs by household members could also be attributed to the quality of the nets, particularly the side effects associated with the insecticides used to treat them. Several studies have reported high rates of adverse effects occurring within 24 hours after the first use of ITNs, including eye and skin irritation, and breathing difficulties [11–13]. Accessibility to healthcare facilities (health centers, pharmacies, etc.) and information may explain why households in the Littoral department use ITNs more frequently. Indeed, the population in the Littoral region has easy access to ITNs as they can purchase them in pharmacies and from street vendors. This is not the case in remote areas of the country. The education level of the head of the household influences ITN use. Numerous studies on ITN use in sub-Saharan Africa have found similar associations between the education level of the household head and ITN use by household members [14–16]. Eteng M et al., in a study in Cross River State, Nigeria, demonstrated that educated parents may be better able to appreciate the importance of ITNs in malaria prevention and understand the information

included in mass awareness campaigns, which impacts ITN use by household members [17]. The association between the lowest economic wealth quintile and non-use of ITNs in households has been reported by Kasama P et al., in their study among community members at risk of malaria along the Thailand-Myanmar border [18]. A similar finding was made in Nigeria by Russell CL et al., in a study on implications for social behavior change interventions following a mass distribution campaign of ITNs [19]. The lack of information on malaria prevention and control methods in households with the lowest economic wealth quintile, as they lack access to television and radio, could justify this association [8, 20].

## CONCLUSION

This study evaluates the prevalence and factors associated with non-use of ITNs in households based on data from the general population malaria indicator survey conducted in 2022. The data revealed that non-use of ITNs in households was due to several determinants, including the education level of household heads, economic wealth quintile, gender, department of residence, and marital status of the household head. Considering these factors would improve indicators for malaria control and the sustainability of these indicators towards malaria elimination.

## REFERENCES

- [1] World Health Organization. World malaria report 2020: 20 years of global progress and challenges. World Health Organization; 2020.
- [2] Tapera O. Determinants of long-lasting insecticidal net ownership and utilization in malaria transmission regions: evidence from Zimbabwe Demographic and Health Surveys. *Malaria J* 2019; 18:278. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-019-2912-x>.
- [3] World Health Organization. A framework for malaria elimination. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.
- [4] Nounouze NP, Zakariaou N, Patrick FPE, Marie-José E, Yollande TM, Guilaine DYC, et al. Déterminants sociodémographiques de l'utilisation de la moustiquaire imprégnée d'insecticide chez les enfants de moins de 05 ans au Cameroun. *Health Sci Dis* 2022;23.
- [5] Philippe CM, Odile NN, Numbi OL. Problématique de l'utilisation des Moustiquaires Imprégnées d'insecticide à Longue Durée (MILD) chez les enfants de moins de 5 ans en République Démocratique du Congo. *Pan Afr Med J* 2016;23:101. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2016.23.101.7050>.
- [6] World Health Organization. World malaria report 2022. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022.
- [7] Institut National de la Statistique et de l'Analyse Économique. Cinquième Enquête Démographique et de Santé au Bénin (EDSB-V) 2017-2018. Bénin: INSAE; 2019.
- [8] Diallo OO, Ozodiegwu ID, Camara A, Galatas B, Gerardin J. Factors associated with the ownership and use of insecticide-treated nets in Guinea: an analysis of the 2018 Demographic and Health Survey. *Malaria J* 2023;22:29. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04463-z>.
- [9] Djènantin A, Moiroux N, Bouraïma A, Zogo B, Sidick I, Corbel V, et al. Field efficacy of a new deltamethrin long lasting insecticidal net (LifeNet©) against wild pyrethroid-resistant *Anopheles gambiae* in Benin. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18:947. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5876-9>.
- [10] Adageba SK, Kanmiki EW, Asoala V, Oduro AR, Baidoo PK. Bio-efficacy, physical integrity, use and attrition of long-lasting insecticidal nets under operational conditions for malaria prevention in Ghana. *PloS One* 2022;17:e0275825. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275825>.
- [11] Ilombe G, Mpiemie T, Mesia G, Matangila JR, Lulebo AM, Maketa V, et al. Prevalence and predictors of adverse events following exposure to long-lasting insecticidal nets used for malaria prevention: a community based cross-sectional study in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Malaria J* 2023;22:35. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04458-w>.
- [12] Madiba S, Chelule PK, Mokgatle MM. Attending Informal Preschools and Daycare Centers Is a Risk Factor for Underweight, Stunting and Wasting in Children under the Age of Five Years in Underprivileged Communities in South Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019;16:2589. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16142589>.
- [13] Olney DK, Kariger PK, Stoltzfus RJ, Khalfan SS, Ali NS, Tielsch JM, et al. Development of nutritionally at-risk young children is predicted by malaria, anemia, and stunting in Pemba, Zanzibar. *J Nutr* 2009;139:763–72. <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.107.086231>.
- [14] Kuetche MTC, Tabue RN, Fokoua-Maxime CD, Evouna AM, Billong S, Kakesa O. Prevalence and risk factors determinants of the non-use of insecticide-treated nets in an endemic area for malaria: analysis of data from Cameroon. *Malaria J* 2023;22:205. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04510-9>.
- [15] Fokam EB, Kindzeka GF, Ngimuh L, Dzi KTJ, Wanji S. Determination of the predictive factors of long-lasting insecticide-treated net ownership and utilisation in the Bamenda Health District of Cameroon. *BMC Public Health* 2017;17:263. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4155-5>.
- [16] Tchinda VHM, Socpa A, Keundo AA, Zeukeng F, Seumen CT, Leke RGF, et al. Factors associated to bed net use in Cameroon: a retrospective study in Mfou health district in the Centre Region. *Pan Afr Med J* 2012;12:112.
- [17] Eteng M, Mitchell S, Garba L, Ana O, Liman M, Cockcroft A, et al. Socio-economic determinants of ownership and use of treated bed nets in Nigeria: results from a cross-sectional study in Cross River and Bauchi States in 2011. *Malar J* 2014;13:316. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-316>.
- [18] Poosesod K, Parker DM, Meemon N, Lawpoolsri S, Singhasivanon P, Sattabongkot J, et al. Ownership and utilization of bed nets and reasons for use or non-use of bed nets among community members at risk of malaria along the Thai-Myanmar border. *Malaria J* 2021;20:305. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03837-5>.
- [19] Russell CL, Sallau A, Emukah E, Graves PM, Noland GS, Ngondi JM, et al. Determinants of Bed Net Use in Southeast Nigeria following Mass Distribution of LLINs: Implications for Social Behavior Change Interventions. *PLOS ONE* 2015;10:e0139447. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139447>.
- [20] Ankomah A, Adebayo SB, Arogundade ED, Anyanti J, Nwokolo E, Ladipo O, et al. Determinants of insecticide-treated net ownership and utilization among pregnant women in Nigeria. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12:105. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-105>.